#### **Equality Impact Assessment**

The Equality Act 2010 replaces the previous anti-discrimination laws with a single Act. It simplifies the law, removing inconsistencies and making it easier for people to understand and comply with it. It also strengthens the law in important ways, to help tackle discrimination and equality. The majority of the Act came into force on 1 October 2010.

Public bodies are required in it to have due regard to the need to:

- eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct prohibited under the Act
- advance equality of opportunity between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it, and
- foster good relations between people who share a protected characteristic and people who do not share it.

The public sector Equality Duty came into force on 5 April 2011. The duty ensures that all public bodies play their part in making society fairer by tackling discrimination and providing equality of opportunity for all. It ensures that public bodies consider the needs of all individuals in their day to day work – in shaping policy, delivering services and in relation to their own employees.

The Equality Duty encourages public bodies to understand how different people will be affected by their activities so that policies and services are appropriate and accessible to all and meet different people's needs. By understanding the effect of their activities on different people, and how inclusive public services can support and open up people's opportunities, public bodies are better placed to deliver policies and services that are efficient and effective.

The new equality duty replaces the three previous public sector equality duties, for race, disability and gender. The new equality duty covers the following protected characteristics:

- age
- disability
- gender reassignment
- pregnancy and maternity
- · race this includes ethnic or national origins, colour or nationality
- religion or belief including lack of belief
- sex
- sexual orientation.

It also applies to marriage and civil partnership, but only in respect of the requirement to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination.

Having due regard means consciously thinking about the three aims of the equality duty as part of the process of decision-making. This means that consideration of equality issues must influence the decisions reached by public bodies, including how they act as employers, how they develop, evaluate and review policies, how they

design, deliver and evaluate services, and how they commission and procure from others.

Having due regard to the need to advance equality of opportunity involves considering the need to:

- remove or minimise disadvantages suffered by people due to their protected characteristics
- meet the needs of people with protected characteristics, and
- encourage people with protected characteristics to participate in public life or in other activities where their participation is low.

Fostering good relations involves tackling prejudice and promoting understanding between people who share a protected characteristic and others.

Complying with the equality duty may involve treating some people better than others, as far as this is allowed by discrimination law. For example, it may involve making use of an exception or the positive action provisions in order to provide a service in a way which is appropriate for people who share a protected characteristic.

The Equality Duty also explicitly recognises that disabled people's needs may be different from those of non-disabled people. Public bodies should therefore take account of disabled people's impairments when making decisions about policies or services. This might mean making reasonable adjustments or treating disabled people better than non-disabled people in order to meet their needs.

There is no explicit requirement to refer to the Equality Duty in recording the process of consideration but it is good practice to do so. Keeping a record of how decisions were reached will help public bodies demonstrate that they considered the aims of the Equality Duty. Keeping a record of how decisions were reached will help public bodies show how they considered the Equality Duty. Producing an Equality Impact Assessment after a decision has been reached will not achieve compliance with the Equality Duty.

It is recommended that assessments are carried out in respect of new or revised policies and that a copy of the assessment is included as an appendix to the report provided to the decision makers at the relevant Cabinet, Committee or Scrutiny meeting.

Where it is clear from initial consideration that a policy will not have any effect on equality for any of the protected characteristics, no further analysis or action is necessary.

Public bodies should take a proportionate approach when complying with the Equality Duty. In practice, this means giving greater consideration to the Equality Duty where a policy or function has the potential to have a discriminatory effect or impact on equality of opportunity, and less consideration where the potential effect

on equality is slight. The Equality Duty requires public bodies to think about people's different needs and how these can be met.

### **EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA)**

Directorate:	Chief Executive	Lead officer	Becky Hatton	
		responsible for EIA		
Name of the policy or function to be		Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMO)		
assessed:		Supplementary Planning Document		
		(SPD)		
Names of the officers undertaking the		Becky Hatton		
assessment:				
Is this a new or an existing policy or		New		
function?				

### 1. What are the aims and objectives of the policy or function?

The aim of the SPD is to support and supplement the Article 4 Direction which came into force on 26<sup>th</sup> March 2022 and covers parts of Beeston.

It has an objective of assisting planning officers in determining planning applications for HMOs within the Article 4 area and will assist applicants in making a successful application.

# 2. What outcomes do you want to achieve from the policy or function?

Creating and maintaining a balanced and mixed community within the Article 4 area.

### 3. Who is intended to benefit from the policy or function?

All residents within the Article 4 area.

# 4. Who are the main stakeholders in relation to the policy or function?

The Council Community members Developers / Landlords Statutory Consultees

Directorate:		T			
	Chief Executive	Lead officer	Becky Hatton		
		responsible for EIA			
5. What baseline quantitative data do you have about the policy or					
function relating to the different equality strands?					
N/A					
6. What baseling	e qualitative data do	you have about the	policy or		
function relating to	the different equali	ty strands?			
Consultation respon	ses.				
7. What has stal	keholder consultation	on, if carried out, rev	ealed about the		
nature of the	: impact?				
Generally, the consultation has revealed that the majority of residents are in favour					
of the adoption of the SPD. However, there were a number of responses who					
•	, ,	j to ban HMO develop			
,		se), therefore having a	an adverse impact		
on landowners/deve	lopers and potential of	occupants.			
8. From the evid	lence available does	s the policy or functi	on affect or have		
the potential	to affect different e	quality groups in dif	ferent ways? In		
assessing w	hether the policy or	function adversely a	affects any		
particular gr	oup or presents an	opportunity for prom	noting equality,		
•		relation to each equ	• • •		
	•	•	, ,		
□ Does the police	 or function target	or exclude a specifi	ic equality group		
		or exclude a specific			
or communit	ty? Does it affect so	me equality groups			
or communit		me equality groups			
or communit differently? I	ty? Does it affect so If yes, can this be ju	me equality groups	or communities		
or community differently? I	ty? Does it affect so if yes, can this be just characteristic that it is	me equality groups of stified?	or communities tentially be relevant		
or community differently? I	ty? Does it affect so if yes, can this be just characteristic that it is	me equality groups of stified?  s considered could po	or communities tentially be relevant		
or community differently? In the only protected of is age, because the	ty? Does it affect so if yes, can this be just characteristic that it is majority of residents	me equality groups of stified?  s considered could po	tentially be relevant be relatively young.		
or community differently? If the only protected of is age, because the However, the SPD of	ty? Does it affect so if yes, can this be just characteristic that it is majority of residents does not introduce any	me equality groups of stified?  s considered could point HMOs are likely to	tentially be relevant be relatively young.		
or community differently? If the only protected of is age, because the However, the SPD of	ty? Does it affect so if yes, can this be just characteristic that it is majority of residents does not introduce any	me equality groups of stified?  Is considered could point in HMOs are likely to be distinction on the bar	tentially be relevant be relatively young.		
or community differently? If the only protected of is age, because the However, the SPD of other protected chart. The SPD is not interpretation.	ty? Does it affect so if yes, can this be just characteristic that it is majority of residents does not introduce any eacteristic) with regard added to reduce the over the country of the	me equality groups of stified?  Is considered could point in HMOs are likely to be distinction on the ball to the need for plannerall amount of housing	tentially be relevant be relatively young. sis of age (or any ling permission.		
or community differently? If the only protected of is age, because the However, the SPD content of the SPD is not intention younger people in	ty? Does it affect so if yes, can this be just characteristic that it is majority of residents does not introduce any facteristic) with regard added to reduce the own Beeston; rather, it go	me equality groups of stified?  Is considered could point in HMOs are likely to a distinction on the ball to the need for plannerall amount of housingives members the open	tentially be relevant be relatively young. sis of age (or any ling permission.  Ing that is available portunity to		
or community differently? If the only protected of is age, because the However, the SPD of other protected chart. The SPD is not interfor younger people is consider concentrations.	ty? Does it affect so if yes, can this be just characteristic that it is majority of residents does not introduce any facteristic) with regard added to reduce the own Beeston; rather, it gons of HMOs in particular.	me equality groups of stified?  s considered could point in HMOs are likely to a distinction on the ball to the need for plannerall amount of housingives members the oppular parts of the area	tentially be relevant be relatively young. sis of age (or any ling permission.  Ing that is available portunity to		
or community differently? If the only protected of is age, because the However, the SPD of other protected chart. The SPD is not interfor younger people is consider concentrations.	ty? Does it affect so if yes, can this be just characteristic that it is majority of residents does not introduce any facteristic) with regard added to reduce the own Beeston; rather, it go	me equality groups of stified?  s considered could point in HMOs are likely to a distinction on the ball to the need for plannerall amount of housingives members the oppular parts of the area	tentially be relevant be relatively young. sis of age (or any ling permission.  Ing that is available portunity to		
or community differently? If the only protected of is age, because the However, the SPD of other protected chart. The SPD is not interfor younger people is consider concentrations.	ty? Does it affect so if yes, can this be just characteristic that it is majority of residents does not introduce any facteristic) with regard added to reduce the own Beeston; rather, it gons of HMOs in particular.	me equality groups of stified?  s considered could point in HMOs are likely to a distinction on the ball to the need for plannerall amount of housingives members the oppular parts of the area	tentially be relevant be relatively young. sis of age (or any ling permission.  Ing that is available portunity to		
or community differently? If the only protected of is age, because the However, the SPD of other protected chart. The SPD is not interfor younger people is consider concentrations.	ty? Does it affect so if yes, can this be just characteristic that it is majority of residents does not introduce any facteristic) with regard added to reduce the own Beeston; rather, it gons of HMOs in particular.	me equality groups of stified?  s considered could point in HMOs are likely to a distinction on the ball to the need for plannerall amount of housingives members the oppular parts of the area	tentially be relevant be relatively young. sis of age (or any ling permission.  Ing that is available portunity to		
or community differently? If the only protected of is age, because the However, the SPD of other protected chart. The SPD is not interfor younger people is consider concentrations.	ty? Does it affect so if yes, can this be just characteristic that it is majority of residents does not introduce any facteristic) with regard added to reduce the own Beeston; rather, it gons of HMOs in particular.	me equality groups of stified?  s considered could point in HMOs are likely to a distinction on the ball to the need for plannerall amount of housingives members the oppular parts of the area	tentially be relevant be relatively young. sis of age (or any ling permission.  Ing that is available portunity to		
or community differently? If the only protected of is age, because the However, the SPD of other protected charm. The SPD is not interfor younger people is consider concentration maintaining mixed a	ty? Does it affect so if yes, can this be just characteristic that it is majority of residents does not introduce any acteristic) with regard added to reduce the own Beeston; rather, it gons of HMOs in particular balanced communication.	me equality groups of stified?  s considered could point in HMOs are likely to a distinction on the ball to the need for plannerall amount of housingives members the oppular parts of the area	tentially be relevant be relatively young. sis of age (or any ling permission. ag that is available portunity to , in the interests of		
or community differently? If the only protected of is age, because the However, the SPD of other protected chart. The SPD is not interfor younger people is consider concentration maintaining mixed a	characteristic that it is majority of residents does not introduce any cacteristic) with regard added to reduce the own Beeston; rather, it gons of HMOs in particular balanced communication.	me equality groups of stified?  s considered could point in HMOs are likely to a distinction on the ball to the need for planning the second in the second i	tentially be relevant be relatively young. sis of age (or any ling permission. ag that is available portunity to , in the interests of		
or community differently? If the only protected of is age, because the However, the SPD of other protected chart. The SPD is not interfor younger people is consider concentration maintaining mixed a	characteristic that it is majority of residents does not introduce any cacteristic) with regard added to reduce the own Beeston; rather, it gons of HMOs in particular balanced communication.	me equality groups estified? s considered could poin HMOs are likely to y distinction on the ball to the need for plannerall amount of housingives members the oppular parts of the area nities.	tentially be relevant be relatively young. sis of age (or any ling permission. ag that is available portunity to , in the interests of		

Appendix Three – Equality Impact Assessment
☐ Are there barriers that might make access difficult or stop different equality groups or communities accessing the policy or function?
The document will be made available on the Council's website. However, if a certain group is less likely to have internet access/be familiar with technology (possibly age) then they can request a hard copy of the document.
☐ Could the policy or function promote or contribute to equality and good relations between different groups? If so, how?
Yes. It is possible that the policy will improve relations between some members of the younger populous (i.e. those more likely to be living in HMOs) and the wider community as the SPD will help to encourage a more balanced and mixed community.
☐ What further evidence is needed to understand the impact on equality? None.
9. On the basis of the analysis above what actions, if any, will you need to take in respect of each of the equality strands?
Age: No
Disability: No
Gender: No
Gender Reassignment: No
Marriage and Civil Partnership: No
Pregnancy and Maternity: No
Race: No
Religion and Belief: No
Sexual Orientation: No

Executive Director:
I am satisfied with the results of this EIA. I undertake to review and monitor progress against the actions proposed in response to this impact assessment.
Signature: